Randy Brown, 11:42 AM 5/8/98 -, Re: Staging South Delta Fish F

To: Randy Brown <rbrown@water.ca.gov>
From: Ron Ott <ronott@water.ca.gov>
Subject: Re: Staging South Delta Fish Facilities
Cc:
Bcc:
Attached:

Randy,

Sorry I didn't inform you of this preliminary meeting on fish facilities staging. No excuse, I just screwed up. I sure agree that someone from ESO and DWR planning should be involve in any process and at all staging meetings.

After taking to the Diversion Effects on Fishery Populations (DEFP) Guidance group, we thought it would be a good idea to get the guidance group together with Dan and Darryl (knowing that Darryl can't participate in future meetings) to see if we could generate some ideas on a process that might address staging of intakes and fish facilities. Since the DEFP was meeting from 9:30-3:00 anyway and most of the members that you and I discussed would be there, I invited Dan and Darryl to join us from 3:00 to 5:00.

Present were:
Pete Chadwick, DFG
Dan Odenweller, DFG IFD
Darryl Hayes DWR
Bruce Herbold, EPA
Mike Thabault, USFWS
Mike Fris, USFWS
Kevan Urquhart, DFG B-D
Pete Rhoads, MWDSC
Larry Brown USBR
Jim Buell

The major part of the discussion was generating questions that any facilities team might address, like:

What is the logical staging of fish facilities in the south Delta and the north Delta. Should the USBR develop the 250-500 cfs research facility or build a full scale prototype? Do we need two diversions in south Delta?

What do we know for certain about facilities, what are we uncertain about?

What can we do to reduce uncertainty in the next step?

What would be the stranded cost of moving from one stage to the next?

Whats the common fish facilities in all alternatives?

When do we do the Tracy intertie?

How do South delta actions effect the fish facilities?

Do we need the output of the Diversion Effects on Fisheries Populations Team before we can address the staging of Fish Facilities????? Recommendation:

- 1. A small team (membership yet undecided) meet and start developing issues that the CALFED Interagency Fish Facilities Technical (IFFT) team might address. We need to include ESO and planning on this team.
- 2. The DEFP team will complete its issues and impacts white paper, which will give input to what we are trying to achieve with fish facilities. (will be ready by end of May)

 3. Dan will check into the possibility of the IFFT meeting in Mid to late June to address the issues in 1 and 2 above.

Randy, we will not meet again until we have the staging team formalized. I will add Mike Ford, any others? and will make sure you are copied on all meetings. I have attached a memo on the fish teams that are helping CALFED. Ron

```
At 06:04 PM 5/7/98 -0700, you wrote:
>Ron,
       I AM WRITING THIS IN CAPS BECAUSE I CAN'T YELL LOUD ENOUGH FOR YOU TO HEAR
      I JUST HEARD FROM PETE RHOADS THAT THE STAGING GROUP MET THIS
>ME.
>AFTERNOON. SINCE THIS WAS MY IDEA I THOUGHT I MIGHT HAVE BEEN NOTIFIED OF
>THE MEETING. IT APPEARS FROM THE ATTENDANCE LIST THAT YOU IGNORED MY
>SUGGESTIONS FOR A SOMEONE FROM PLANNING TO BE PART OF THE GROUP.
>SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM IN THAT I MET WITH KATHY KELLY AND BOB POTTER THIS
>WEEK AND RECOMMENDED THAT THIS GROUP BE WHERE THE ISDP STAGING CONCERNS
>COULD BE ADDRESSED. YOU ALSO NEED SOMEONE FROM ESO BECAUSE WE HAVE THE
>CONTRACT FOR THE CONSULTING PANEL. WE ALSO ARE GOING TO BE REPLACING
>DARRYL AND NEED TO BE INVOLVED AS PART OF THE PANEL. FOR NOW STEVE FORD
>WILL BE THE FISH FACILITIES CONTACT FOR ESO. AS I INDICATED I WOULD DO I
>ASKED POTTER AND KASHIWADA IF DARRYL COULD BE PART OF THE GROUP AND THE
>ANSWER WAS A DEFINITE NO - ESPECIALLY FROM STEVE. At 02:21 PM 4/28/98
>-0700, you wrote:
>>As a result of our discussions at the CVFFCT meeting yesterday, we could
>>put together a small team consisting of individuals from the CALFED
>>Interagency Fish Facilities Technical Team (Coordination Committee) and the
>>Diversion Effects on Fisheries Team (Guidance group). This small team would
>>address and fram the issues on staging. Many are the same individuals. The
>>team would then be:
>>
>>Pete Chadwick, DFG
>>Dan Odenweller, DFG IFD
>>Bruce Herbold, EPA
>>Mike Thabault, USFWS
>>Mike Fris, USFWS
>>Kevan Urquhart, DFG B-D
>>Pete Rhoads, MWDSC
>>We need someone from USBR.
>>Is Charlie Liston the right guy?
>>Your Thoughts
>>Ron
>>
>>
```